

## Is construction materialized when an element required to constitute construction is removed?


建築を構成するのに必要な要素を取り除いたとき、建築は成立するのか

2012.10.24

Is construction produced from a design which rounded paper with wrinkles?

When the boundary of a wall and a roof becomes ambiguous among the elements which constitute a building called a pillar, a wall, a roof, and a floor, what occurs?

Form which rounds paper with wrinkles and is made is then made by chance, and cannot be built intentionally.



The crease and wrinkles included in paper are an accidental product.

Doesn't a building have the view of using space using what was made by chance easily, either, although space is intentionally made combining a component? This Study model has made the building by dropping a floor into the space built by chance, and preparing


an opening in it easily.

Even if two of elements required since a building is constituted are missing, the remaining elements compensate and you are making it materialized as a building.

And the done building appears also like a rock, and while it is unconscious, it suggests that human beings' ancestor lived in the cave.

Though it is old construction far, it may be able to be called the latest form of the accidental product which cannot be made in analysis that cannot be made by modern science, either.

Regardless of the preconceived idea that a building is constituted by element called a pillar, a wall, a roof, and a floor, a form is created freely and this Study model is proving that it is also possible to form construction from there.



断面図 S=1/100